JUN 1 2 2006

## FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151 Telephone: (212) 588-0800 Facsimile: (212) 588-0500 E-mail: Firm@flhlaw.com

### **FACSIMILE COVER LETTER**

To:

Commissioner for Patents

Firm:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

From:

Thomas F. Presson

Date:

June 12, 2006

Re:

FLH Ref No.: 450100-02317

Serial No:

3

09/504,740

Number of Pages: (including cover page)

If you do not receive all pages or are unable to read the transmission, please call

#### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited.

00273972

06/12/2006 15:41 FAX 12125880500

JUN 1 2 2006 PATENT 450100-02317

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**Applicants** 

Takeo Nishijima, et al.

Notice of Allowance Dated: 06/01/2006

Serial No.

09/504,740

Confirmation No. 6292

For

VIDEO RECORDING APPARATUS AND

METHOD, AND CENTRALIZED MONITORING

RECORDING SYSTEM

Filed

February 16, 2000

Examiner

Onuaku, Christopher O.

Art Unit

2621

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151

### FACSIMILE

:

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Central Fax Center No. (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below.

Signature June 12, 2006

Date of Signature

### RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed June 1, 2006. To the extent the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are

PATENT 450100-02317

allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicants' attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicants' contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicants of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicants, by their attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicants

Thomas F. Presson

Reg. No. 41,442 (212) 588-0800